

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/605,946 | 10/605,946 11/07/2003 | | Dan T. MUELLER | 10286.0350.NPUS01 | 2945 | |
| 23369 | 7590 | 05/30/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| HOWREY LLP | | | | BATES, ZAKIYA W | | |
| C/O IP DOC | KETING | DEPARTMENT | | <u></u> | | |
| 2941 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE, SUITE 200 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042-7195 | | | | 3676 | | |

DATE MAILED: 05/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/605,946 | MUELLER, DAN T. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Zakiya W. Bates | 3676 | | |

| | Zakiya vv. bates | 30/0 | |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, at tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir | ng date of the final rejecti | on. |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | • | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount thortened statutory period for reply original three months after the mailing date. | of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two month | ns of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | ecause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further con | | TE below); | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | • | advoing or cimplifying | the issues for |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appear by materially re | educing of Simplifying | lile issues ioi |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | iected claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | • | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | | , timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | ill be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>21 and 23-30</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a Nd sufficient reasons why the affida | lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is | ot be entered s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appe | eal and/or appellant fai | ils to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attach | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application | in condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s). | |
| 13. Other: | | | |
| | | Zakiya W. Bates | |
| | | 7akiya W Rates | |
| | | Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3676 | |
| | | | |